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In this work, we investigate the STO as a detector with in-plane geometry using field modulation Spin Torque ferromagnetic resonance (FM-STFMR), during which microwave signal is injected into the device and output response is measured in terms of RMS voltage across lockin amplifier. The microwave signal injected externally to STO, efficiently synchronizes signal at two times the frequency of free oscillation of the nanomagnet $\left(f_{0}\right)$. The synchronization efficiently enhances signal sensitivity at $2 f_{0}$ which opens other potential for development of spintronic devices. The effect of synchronization in STO under applied input RF power follows a consistent decrease in sensitivity with increasing power. Better synchronization at $2 f_{0}$ is noticed above threshold current and shows good agreement with the results of numerical simulations.
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Spin transfer torque (STT) predicted by Slonczewski and Berger ${ }^{1,2}$ has attracted researchers in nano-scale Spintronics devices. STT[3-5] manipulates magnetization dynamics with an application of spin polarized currents. Spin polarized current interaction with the local spins leads to the precession of magnetization that can be applied for the technology applications so called Spin Torque oscillator. These Spin Torque oscillators (STOs) [6-7] are nano-sized magneto-resistive devices that can produce a microwave signal in the GHz range with wide frequency tunability, a phenomena which is receiving increasing importance for a number of possible microwave applications e.g., microwave detectors, wireless communication and modulators. STO devices are capable of detecting microwave signals. Spin torque ferromagnetic resonance (STFMR) is a technique[8-9] used to investigate these devices as a microwave detector. In STFMR experiments, a microwave currentwith frequency fe close to the resonance frequency $f_{0}$ of nano-magnet is applied to the nanomagnetic device. A dc voltage is produced by mixing of the microwave current with the signal generated by the dynamical response of the nanomagnet via a phenomenon called spin torque diode effect [10]. The microwave signal injected externally to STO, efficiently synchronizes signal at two times the frequency of free oscillation of the nanomagnet $\left(f_{0}\right)$. The synchronization efficiently enhances signal sensitivity at $2 f_{0}$ which opens other potential for development of spintronic devices. By modeling the dependence of this voltage on the applied microwave frequency, one can extractinformation about the resonance frequencies, linewidth

[^0]and magnitude of spin torques. The efficiency of inplane STO devices is insufficient for practical applications. However, these devices have higher frequency tunability considerable for real applications as compared to PMA based out of plane arrangements [11-15]. For practical applications, higher frequency tunability with higher sensitivity is a requisite. The diode sensitivity is well defined by: $V_{p p} / P_{r f}$. The phenomenon of synchronization is presently the centre of research, as it opens other potential for developing and improving the quality STOs. Moreover, the tentativestudy of synchronization isrestricted to a small number of STOs. In this article, we perform spin torque diode measurement in an in-plane magnetic field on $\mathrm{CoFeB} / \mathrm{MgO} / \mathrm{CoFeB}$ device using recently explored STFMR[8-9] technique and more sensitive Field Modulation[16] STFMR Technique. A direct comparison with amplitude modulation in terms of background noise (or signal quality) and sensitivity, showed that the field modulation method is much superior out of the two measurement methods. The parametric synchronization at $2 f_{0}$ in STO under applied input RF power follows a consistent decrease in sensitivity with increasing power. Better synchronization at $2 f_{0}$ is seen above threshold current.

## A. Experimental Set-up

We investigate MgO based Magnetic Tunnel Junctions[17] with circular cross section with a diameter of 150 nm consisting of the multilayers of $\operatorname{IrMn}(5) / \mathrm{CoFe}(2.1) / \mathrm{Ru}(0.81) / \mathrm{CoFe}(1) / \mathrm{CoFeB}(1.5) /$ $\mathrm{MgO}(1) / \mathrm{CoFeB}(3.5)$ (thicknesses in nm) Fig 1(a) (inset) where the bottom CoFe layer is the pinned layer (PL), the composite $\mathrm{CoFe} / \mathrm{CoFeB}$ represents the Reference Layer (RL), and the top CoFeB layer is the Free Layer
(FL). The measured TMR of theinvestigated device is $73 \%$. We assume that a positive current corresponds to electrons flowing from the RL to the FL. The RL magnetization direction is taken to be $\theta=0^{\circ}$ with the applied external magnetic field. All measurements are performed at room temperature. We performSTFMR using in-plane magnetic field on $\mathrm{CoFeB} / \mathrm{MgO} / \mathrm{CoFeB}$ device using Field Modulation Technique. Figure 1(b) shows the actual set-up where a microwave-frequency current $I_{R F}$ and adirect current $I_{D C}$ are applied simultaneously through bias-tee to a MTJ, which excites the free layer magnetization and causes resistance oscillations at driving frequency of $I_{R F}$. Two Helmholtz coils are attached with the big pole pieces to add a small ac field of 4-5 Oe. These coils are supplied with the reference frequency from the lock-in amplifier to get a sensitive signal.

## B. Results and Discussion

We explore experimental results of spectra using amplitude modulation (AM) and a direct comparison with field modulation (FM) STFMR as shown in figure 1(c). The oscillating frequencies and processional modes in both modulation schemes are approximately near but vary in peak to peak voltages $V_{p p}$ with applied external magnetic field.The AM-STFMR spectra suffers from background oscillations is due to the continuous variation of IRFfrequency dependence of the microwave cable and circuitry. However, frequency dependent background noise is removed in case of field modulating STFMR. The voltage VRMS measured across a lock-in amplifier is result of mixing between resistance oscillation and $I_{R F}$. Figure 1(c) illustrates the measured $V_{R M S}$ voltage at 7 mA as a function of frequency at -10 dBm power supplied through signal source. By making the linewidth narrower, we may expect much larger diode sensitivity[11]. The comparisons of sensitivity at $f_{0}$ and $2 f_{0}$ with applied input RF power for both the methods are shown in figure $1(\mathrm{~d})$. Sensitivity decreases with power[14-15]. There is a qualitative similarity in response but the sensitivity is enhanced 2 times in field modulation compared to amplitude modulation (AM). The highest sensitivity for AMSTFMR is $4.2 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{mW}$ as compared to $10.2 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{mW}$ for FM-STFMR. Thus FM-STFMR is sensitive compared to AM-STFMR. The increase in peak voltage with an applied bias near threshold current is expected as effective damping is reduced as a result of increase in spin transfer torque at higher bias[19]. Stronger synchronization is observed at $2 f_{0}$ above threshold bias as shown in figure 2 . The LLGS equation under the macrospin approximation was solved in spherical coordinates using the 4th order Runge-Kutta method with a fixed time step of 0.5 ps . A value of $1000 \mathrm{emu} / c c$ was taken as the saturation magnetization for the free layer. Fixed layer was assumed to be aligned along x-direction with small in-plane and out-of-plane deviation. Polarization efficiency was taken to be 0.65 . The contribution from field like torque term was neglected. The STFMR curves were simulated by injecting small RF current $I_{R F}$ at a particular frequency along
with the DC bias. The average of oscillating voltage generated due to this excitation over one period is taken as the $V_{\text {mix }}$. Scanning the frequency over a large range (2-15 GHz ) and calculating $V_{\text {mix }}$ at each point gives the complete STFMR curve. The sensitivity of $f_{0}$ increase with increase in dc bias. However stronger synchronization is seen at $2 f_{0}$ above threshold current as shown in figure 3 . Figure 3 (a) shows experimental results which are in good agreement with the results of numerical simulations. The increase in sensitivity with an applied bias above threshold current is expected as effective damping is reduced as a result of increase in spin transfer torque at higher bias[19].Sensitivity decrease with applied input RF power as shown in figure 4. The decrease in sensitivity of resonant peaks is due to distribution of power over the entire sweep range. In conclusion, we measured the magnetization spectra using two methods: AM-STFMR and FM-STFMR. FM technique eliminates background magnetic signals with better signal quality.The microwave signal injected externally efficiently synchronizes signal at twice the frequency of free oscillation of the nanomagnet $\left(f_{0}\right)$. The synchronization efficiently enhances signal sensitivity at $2 f_{0}$. Sensitivity increases with applied bias results reduction in damping and increase in STT at higher currents.Better synchronization at $2 f_{0}$ is noticed above threshold current and shows good agreement with the results of numerical simulations. However, more devices should be explored to present information concerning the behavioral data consistency.
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## 1. Third-level heading: Citations and Footnotes
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## I. MATH AND EQUATIONS

Inline math may be typeset using the $\$$ delimiters. Bold math symbols may be achieved using the bm package and the $\backslash \mathrm{bm}\{\# 1\}$ command it supplies. For instance, a bold $\alpha$ can be typeset as $\$ \backslash$ bm $\{\backslash$ alpha\} $\$$ giving $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Fraktur and Blackboard (or open face or double struck) characters should be typeset using the \mathfrak\{\#1\} and \mathbb\{\#1\} commands respectively. Both are supplied by the amssymb package. For example, $\$ \backslash$ mathbb $\{R\} \$$ gives $\mathbb{R}$ and $\$ \backslash$ mathfrak $\{G\} \$$ gives $\mathfrak{G}$

In ${ }^{A} T_{E} \mathrm{X}$ there are many different ways to display equations, and a few preferred ways are noted below. Displayed math will center by default. Use the class option fleqn to flush equations left.

Below we have numbered single-line equations, the most common kind:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\chi_{+}(p) \lesssim\left[2|\mathbf{p}|\left(|\mathbf{p}|+p_{z}\right)\right]^{-1 / 2}\binom{|\mathbf{p}|+p_{z}}{p x+i p_{y}}  \tag{1}\\
\left\{\mathbb{1} 234567890 a b c 123 \alpha \beta \gamma \delta 1234556 \alpha \beta \frac{1 \sum_{b}^{a}}{A^{2}}\right\} \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note the open one in Eq. (2).
Not all numbered equations will fit within a narrow column this way. The equation number will move down automatically if it cannot fit on the same line with a one-line equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{a b 12345678 a b c 123456 a b c d e f \alpha \beta \gamma \delta 1234556 \alpha \beta \frac{1 \sum_{b}^{a}}{A^{2}}\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the \label\{\#1\} command is used [cf. input for Eq. (2)], the equation can be referred to in text without knowing the equation number that $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ will assign to it. Just use \ref\{\#1\}, where \#1 is the same name that used in the \label\{\#1\} command.

Unnumbered single-line equations can be typeset using the $\backslash[, \backslash]$ format:

$$
g^{+} g^{+} \rightarrow g^{+} g^{+} g^{+} g^{+} \ldots, \quad q^{+} q^{+} \rightarrow q^{+} g^{+} g^{+} \ldots
$$

## A. Multiline equations

Multiline equations are obtained by using the eqnarray environment. Use the \nonumber command at the end of each line to avoid assigning a number:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}= & i g_{Z}^{2}\left(4 E_{1} E_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(l_{i}^{2}\right)^{-1} \delta_{\sigma_{1},-\sigma_{2}}\left(g_{\sigma_{2}}^{e}\right)^{2} \chi_{-\sigma_{2}}\left(p_{2}\right) \\
& \times\left[\epsilon_{j} l_{i} \epsilon_{i}\right]_{\sigma_{1}} \chi_{\sigma_{1}}\left(p_{1}\right)  \tag{4}\\
\sum\left|M_{g}^{\text {viol }}\right|^{2}= & g_{S}^{2 n-4}\left(Q^{2}\right) N^{n-2}\left(N^{2}-1\right) \\
& \times\left(\sum_{i<j}\right) \sum_{\text {perm }} \frac{1}{S_{12}} \frac{1}{S_{12}} \sum_{\tau} c_{\tau}^{f} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Note: Do not use \label\{\#1\} on a line of a multiline equation if \nonumber is also used on that line. Incorrect cross-referencing will result. Notice the use \text\{\#1\} for using a Roman font within a math environment.

To set a multiline equation without any equation numbers, use the \begin\{eqnarray*\}, \end\{eqnarray*\} } format:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum\left|M_{g}^{\text {viol }}\right|^{2}= & g_{S}^{2 n-4}\left(Q^{2}\right) N^{n-2}\left(N^{2}-1\right) \\
& \times\left(\sum_{i<j}\right)\left(\sum_{\text {perm }} \frac{1}{S_{12} S_{23} S_{n 1}}\right) \frac{1}{S_{12}}
\end{aligned}
$$

To obtain numbers not normally produced by the automatic numbering, use the $\backslash \operatorname{tag}\{\# 1\}$ command, where \#1 is the desired equation number. For example, to get an equation number of $\left(2.6^{\prime}\right)$,

$$
g^{+} g^{+} \rightarrow g^{+} g^{+} g^{+} g^{+} \ldots, \quad q^{+} q^{+} \rightarrow q^{+} g^{+} g^{+} \ldots
$$

A few notes on $\backslash \operatorname{tag}\{\# 1\}$. $\backslash \operatorname{tag}\{\# 1\}$ requires amsmath. The $\backslash \operatorname{tag}\{\# 1\}$ must come before the \label\{\#1\}, if any. The numbering set with $\backslash \operatorname{tag}\{\# 1\}$ is transparent to the automatic numbering in $\mathrm{REVT}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$; therefore, the number must be known ahead of time, and it must be manually adjusted if other equations are added. $\backslash \operatorname{tag}\{\# 1\}$ works with both single-line and multiline equations. \tag\{\#1\} should only be used in exceptional case - do not use it to number all equations in a paper.

Enclosing single-line and multiline equations in \begin\{subequations\} and \end\{subequations\} will } produce a set of equations that are "numbered" with letters, as shown in Eqs. (6a) and (6b) below:

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\{a b c 123456 a b c d e f \alpha \beta \gamma \delta 1234556 \alpha \beta \frac{1 \sum_{b}^{a}}{A^{2}}\right\}  \tag{6a}\\
& \mathcal{M}= i g_{Z}^{2}\left(4 E_{1} E_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(l_{i}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(g_{\sigma_{2}}^{e}\right)^{2} \chi_{-\sigma_{2}}\left(p_{2}\right) \\
& \times\left[\epsilon_{i}\right]_{\sigma_{1}} \chi_{\sigma_{1}}\left(p_{1}\right) \tag{6b}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting a \label\{\#1\} command right after the \begin\{subequations\}, allows one to reference all the } equations in a subequations environment. For example, the equations in the preceding subequations environment were Eqs. (6).

## 1. Wide equations

The equation that follows is set in a wide format, i.e., it spans across the full page. The wide format is reserved for long equations that cannot be easily broken into four lines or less:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}^{(\mathrm{d})}=g_{\sigma_{2}}^{e}\left(\frac{\left[\Gamma^{Z}(3,21)\right]_{\sigma_{1}}}{Q_{12}^{2}-M_{W}^{2}}+\frac{\left[\Gamma^{Z}(13,2)\right]_{\sigma_{1}}}{Q_{13}^{2}-M_{W}^{2}}\right)+x_{W} Q_{e}\left(\frac{\left[\Gamma^{\gamma}(3,21)\right]_{\sigma_{1}}}{Q_{12}^{2}-M_{W}^{2}}+\frac{\left[\Gamma^{\gamma}(13,2)\right]_{\sigma_{1}}}{Q_{13}^{2}-M_{W}^{2}}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is typed to show the output is in wide format. (Since there is no input line between \equation and this paragraph, there is no paragraph indent for this paragraph.)

## II. CROSS-REFERENCING

REVTEX $_{E}$ will automatically number sections, equations, figure captions, and tables. In order to reference them in text, use the \label\{\#1\} and \ref\{\#1\} commands. To reference a particular page, use the \pageref \{\#1\} command.

The \label\{\#1\} should appear in a section heading, within an equation, or in a table or figure caption. The $\backslash r e f\{\# 1\}$ command is used in the text where the citation is to be displayed. Some examples: Section ?? on page ??, Table I, and Fig. 1.

## III. FIGURES AND TABLES

Figures and tables are typically "floats"; $\mathrm{IAT}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ determines their final position via placement rules. $\mathrm{LA}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ isn't always successful in automatically placing floats where you wish them.

Figures are marked up with the figure environment, the content of which imports the image (\includegraphics) followed by the figure caption (\caption). The argument of the latter command should

TABLE I. This is a narrow table which fits into a text column when using twocolumn formatting. Note that REVTEX 4 adjusts the intercolumn spacing so that the table fills the entire width of the column. Table captions are numbered automatically. This table illustrates left-aligned, centered, and right-aligned columns.

| Left $^{\text {a }}$ | Centered $^{\text {b }}$ | Right |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 10 | 20 | 30 |
| 100 | 200 | 300 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Note a.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Note b.
itself contain a \label command if you wish to refer to your figure with \ref.

Import your image using either the graphics or graphix packages. These packages both define the  command, but they differ in the optional arguments for specifying the orientation, scaling, and translation of the figure. Fig. 1
is small enough to fit in a single column, while Fig. 2 is too wide for a single column, so instead the figure* environment has been used.

The analog of the figure environment is table, which uses the same \caption command. However, you should type your caption command first within the table, instead of last as you did for figure.


FIG. 1. A figure caption. The figure captions are automatically numbered.

TABLE II. Numbers in columns Three-Five have been aligned by using the " d " column specifier (requires the dcolumn package). Non-numeric entries (those entries without a ".") in a "d" column are aligned on the decimal point. Use the "D" specifier for more complex layouts.

| One | Two | Three | Four | Five |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| one | two | three | four | five |
| He | 2 | 2.77234 | 45672. | 0.69 |
| $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 12537.64 | 37.66345 | 86.37 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Some tables require footnotes.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Some tables need more than one footnote.

The heart of any table is the tabular environment, which represents the table content as a (vertical) sequence of table rows, each containing a (horizontal) sequence of table cells. Cells are separated by the \& character; the row terminates with $\backslash \backslash$. The required argument for the tabular environment specifies how data are displayed in each of the columns. For instance, a column may be centered (c), left-justified (1), right-justified (r), or aligned on a decimal point (d). (Table II illustrates the use of decimal column alignment.)

Extra column-spacing may be be specified as well, although $\mathrm{REVT}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X} 4$ sets this spacing so that the columns fill the width of the table. Horizontal rules are typeset using the \hline command. The doubled (or Scotch) rules that appear at the top and bottom of a table can be achieved by enclosing the tabular environment within a ruledtabular environment. Rows whose columns span multiple columns can be typeset using $\mathrm{LAT}_{\mathrm{E}}$ 's \multicolumn\{\#1\}\{\#2\}\{\#3\} command (for example, see the first row of Table III).

The tables in this document illustrate various effects. Tables that fit in a narrow column are contained in a table environment. Table III is a wide table, therefore set with the table* environment. Lengthy tables may need to break across pages. A simple way to allow this is to specify the [H] float placement on the table or table* environment. Alternatively, using the standard $\mathrm{LAT}_{\mathrm{E}} 2 \varepsilon$ package longtable gives more control over how tables break and allows headers and footers to be specified for each page of the table. An example of the use of longtable can be found in the file summary.tex that is included with the REVTEX 4 distribution.

There are two methods for setting footnotes within a table (these footnotes will be displayed directly below the table rather than at the bottom of the page or in
the bibliography). The easiest and preferred method is just to use the \footnote\{\#1\} command. This will automatically enumerate the footnotes with lowercase roman letters. However, it is sometimes necessary to have multiple entries in the table share the same footnote. In this case, create the footnotes using $\backslash$ footnotemark [\#1] and \footnotetext[\#1]\{\#2\}. \#1 is a numeric value. Each time the same value for \#1 is used, the same mark is produced in the table. The \footnotetext[\#1] \{\#2\} commands are placed after the tabular environment. Examine the $\mathrm{LT}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ source and output for Tables I and IV for an illustration.

All AIP journals require that the initial citation of figures or tables be in numerical order. EATEX's automatic numbering of floats is your friend here: just put each figure environment immediately following its first reference ( $\backslash$ ref), as we have done in this example file.
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## Appendix A: Appendixes

To start the appendixes, use the \appendix command. This signals that all following section commands refer to appendixes instead of regular sections. Therefore, the \appendix command should be used only once - to set up the section commands to act as appendixes. Thereafter normal section commands are used. The heading for a section can be left empty. For example,

## \appendix

\section\{\}

will produce an appendix heading that says "APPENDIX A" and

```
\appendix
\section{Background}
```

will produce an appendix heading that says "APPENDIX A: BACKGROUND" (note that the colon is set automatically).

If there is only one appendix, then the letter " $A$ " should not appear. This is suppressed by using the star version of the appendix command (lappendix* in the place of \appendix).

## Appendix B: A little more on appendixes

Observe that this appendix was started by using

\section\{A little more on appendixes\}

Note the equation number in an appendix:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=m c^{2} \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Wide Test Figure

FIG. 2. Use the figure* environment to get a wide figure, spanning the page in twocolumn formatting.

TABLE III. This is a wide table that spans the page width in twocolumn mode. It is formatted using the table* environment. It also demonstrates the use of $\backslash$ multicolumn in rows with entries that span more than one column.

|  |  | $D_{4 h}^{1}$ |  |  | $D_{4 h}^{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ion | 1st alternative |  | 2nd alternative | lst alternative | 2nd alternative |
| K | $(2 e)+(2 f)$ | $(2 g)^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  | $(a)+(b)+(c)+(d)$ | $(2 c)+(2 d)$ |
| Mn | $(a)+(b)+(c)+(d)$ | $(8 r)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $(2 g)^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $(4 e)$ | $(2 a)+(2 b)$ |
| Cl |  | $(4 j)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $(4 e)^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |
| He |  | $(4 g)^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  | $(4 h)^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |
| Ag |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The $z$ parameter of these positions is $z \sim \frac{1}{4}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ This is a footnote in a table that spans the full page width in twocolumn mode. It is supposed to set on the full width of the page, just as the caption does.

TABLE IV. A table with more columns still fits properly in a column. Note that several entries share the same footnote. Inspect the $\mathrm{IA}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ input for this table to see exactly how it is done.

|  | $r_{c}(\AA)$ | $r_{0}(\AA)$ | $\kappa r_{0}$ |  | $r_{c}(\AA)$ | $r_{0}(\AA)$ | $\kappa r_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cu | 0.800 | 14.10 | 2.550 | $\mathrm{Sn}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.680 | 1.870 | 3.700 |
| Ag | 0.990 | 15.90 | 2.710 | $\mathrm{~Pb}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 0.450 | 1.930 | 3.760 |
| Au | 1.150 | 15.90 | 2.710 | $\mathrm{Ca}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 0.750 | 2.170 | 3.560 |
| Mg | 0.490 | 17.60 | 3.200 | $\mathrm{Sr}^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.900 | 2.370 | 3.720 |
| Zn | 0.300 | 15.20 | 2.970 | $\mathrm{Li}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 0.380 | 1.730 | 2.830 |
| Cd | 0.530 | 17.10 | 3.160 | $\mathrm{Na}^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 0.760 | 2.110 | 3.120 |
| Hg | 0.550 | 17.80 | 3.220 | $\mathrm{~K}^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 1.120 | 2.620 | 3.480 |
| Al | 0.230 | 15.80 | 3.240 | $\mathrm{Rb}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 1.330 | 2.800 | 3.590 |
| Ga | 0.310 | 16.70 | 3.330 | $\mathrm{Cs}^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 1.420 | 3.030 | 3.740 |
| In | 0.460 | 18.40 | 3.500 | $\mathrm{Ba}^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 0.960 | 2.460 | 3.780 |
| Tl | 0.480 | 18.90 | 3.550 |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Here's the first, from Ref. ? .
b Here's the second.
c Here's the third.
${ }^{d}$ Here's the fourth.
e And etc.

## a. A subsubsection in an appendix

Note the equation numbers in this appendix, produced with the subequations environment:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E=m c  \tag{B2a}\\
& E=m c^{2},  \tag{B2b}\\
& E \gtrsim m c^{3} \tag{B2c}
\end{align*}
$$

They turn out to be Eqs. (B2a), (B2b), and (B2c).
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